IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	NT PINO, v. ND S. HAWLE	Plaintiff, Y, Defendant.))))	C.A. No. 05-44 Pittsburgh
		MEMO	<u>ORANDUI</u>	M ORDER
	Plainti	ff's complaint was	s received b	by the Clerk of Court on January 13, 2005, and
was refe	erred to United	States Magistrate	Judge Susa	an Paradise Baxter for pretrial proceedings in
accorda	nce with the Ma	agistrate Judges A	ct, 28 U.S.0	C. § 636(b)(1), and Rules 72.1.3 and 72.1.4 of
the Loca	al Rules for Ma	gistrate Judges.		
	The m	nagistrate judge's	report and	I recommendation, filed on March 5, 2007,
recomm	ended that Defe	endant's Motion fo	or Summary	y Judgment (Doc. #38) be granted. The parties
were all	owed ten (10)	days from the dat	te of service	e to file objections. Service was made on all
parties b	by electronic no	otice. No objection	ns were file	ed. After <u>de novo</u> review of the pleadings and
docume	nts in the case, t	together with the re	eport and re	ecommendation, the following order is entered:
	AND 1	NOW, this 26th D	ay of Marcl	h, 2007;
	IT IS	HEREBY ORDE	RED that I	Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment
(Doc. #3	38) is GRANTI	ED.		
	The re	port and recomme	ndation of N	Magistrate Judge Baxter, dated March 5, 2007,
is adopt	ed as the opinion	on of the court.		
				/s/ Joy Flowers Conti JOY FLOWERS CONTI United States District Judge
cc:	Susan Paradis U.S. Magistra			
	all parties of r	ecord		